Trajectories in Non-malignant Disease and New Collaborative Care Models For Advanced Disease

Debbie Hindson Nurse Consultant (non cancer)



Aim

- Explore the transition into Specialist Palliative Care for people with non-malignant conditions
 - Disease trajectory
- Review the challenges for different non malignant disease groups
 - Prognostic indicators
 - Multi-morbidities
- Proposed model for proactive collaborative care



WHO definition Palliative Care (accessed 2018)

Palliative care is an approach that improves the quality of life of patients and their families facing the problem associated with life-threatening illness, through the prevention and relief of suffering by means of early identification and impeccable assessment and treatment of pain and other problems, physical, psychosocial and spiritual.



Disease Trajectory



Three trajectories of illness (Lynn et al) reflecting the three main causes of expected death



Phyllis Tuckwell

National Drivers for Palliative Care for Non-Malignant Conditions

- Access Inequalities
- Accessible palliative care from diagnosis to death collaboration with active treatments and palliative support
- Proactive early referral to improve quality if life







The National GSF Centre's guidance for clinicians to support earlier identification of patients nearing the end of life leading to improved proactive person-centred care

framework

GSF PIG 6th Edition Dec 2016 K Thomas, Julie Armstrong Wilson and GSF Team, National Gold Standards Framework Centre in End of Life Care http://www.goldstandardsframework.org.uk for more details see GSF PIG

> Phyllis Tuckwell Hospice Care

Specialist Palliative Care



| Living Well | Community | In-Patient | Post bereavement |
|--------------|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Day Services | Support | | |

Specialist palliative care is the active, total care of patients with progressive, advanced disease and their families. Care is provided by a multi-professional team who have undergone recognised specialist palliative care training. The aim of the care is to provide physical, psychological, social and spiritual support

http://www.ncpc.org.uk/sites/default/files/CommissioningGuidanceforSpecialistPalliativeCare.pdf

Phyllis Tuckwell

Benefits of proactive palliative care involvement

- Allows for broader integration of care across services (Bakitas, 2013)
- Palliative care delivered in parallel with disease focussed treatment (Boyd,2012)
- Supports timely advanced planning (Charnock, 2014)
- Reduced burden on caregivers (Higginson, 2011)
- Lower symptom distress (Schroedl et al 2014)
- Patients and family may be better prepared for death
- Proactive bereavement support





Challenges to transitions for palliative care

- Prognostic uncertainty variation to predict disease trajectory
- High levels of hospital use
- No clear terminal phase
- Emerging limited evidence base for non malignant palliative care to establish patient outcomes
- Perception of HCPs time, timing and confidence
- Perception of patients around palliative care
- Cancer trajectory still predominant
- Under developed links between condition specialist and palliative care specialists



Phyllis

Triggers – Prognostic Indicators

(3) THE UNIVERSITY

Supportive and Palliative Care Indicators Tool (SPICT™)

of EDINBURGH

The SPICT[™] is used to help identify people whose health is deteriorating.

Assess them for unmet supportive and palliative care needs. Plan care.

Look for any general indicators of poor or deteriorating health.

Unplanned hospital admission(s).

- Performance status is poor or deteriorating, with limited reversibility. (eq. The person stays in bed or in a chair for more than half the day.)
- Depends on others for care due to increasing physical and/or mental health problems.
- The person's carer needs more help and support.
- The person has had significant weight loss over the last few months, or remains underweight.
- Persistent symptoms despite optimal treatment of underlying condition(s).
- The person (or family) asks for palliative care; chooses to reduce, stop or not have treatment; or wishes to focus on quality of life.

Heart/ vascular disease

Look for clinical indicators of one or multiple life-limiting conditions.

Cancer

Functional ability deteriorating due to progressive cancer.

Too frail for cancer treatment or treatment is for symptom control.

Dementia/ frailty

Unable to dress, walk or eat without belo.

Eating and drinking less: difficulty with swallowing.

Urinary and faecal incontinence. Not able to communicate by

speaking; little social interaction.

Frequent falls: fractured femur.

Recurrent febrile episodes or infections; aspiration pneumonia.

Neurological disease

Progressive deterioration in physical and/or cognitive function despite optimal therapy.

Speech problems with increasing difficulty communicating and/or progressive difficulty with swallowing.

Recurrent aspiration pneumonia; breathless or respiratory failure.

Persistent paralysis after stroke with significant loss of function and ongoing disability.

Heart failure or extensive. untreatable coronary artery disease; with breathlessness or chest pain at rest or on minimal effort Severe, inoperable peripheral vascular disease. **Respiratory disease**

Severe, chronic lung disease; with breathlessness at rest or on minimal effort between exacerbations.

Persistent hypoxia needing long term oxygen therapy.

Has needed ventilation for respiratory failure or ventilation is contraindicated.

Other conditions

Deteriorating and at risk of dying with other conditions or complications that are not reversible; any treatment available will have a poor outcome

Kidney disease

deteriorating health.

treatments.

Liver disease

Stage 4 or 5 chronic kidney

Kidney failure complicating

Cirrhosis with one or more

· diuretic resistant ascites

hepatic encephalopathy

· recurrent variceal bleeds

Liver transplant is not possible.

hepatorenal syndrome

bacterial peritonitis

complications in the past year:

other life limiting conditions or

Stopping or not starting dialysis.

disease (eGFR < 30ml/min) with

LOI0

PICT

Review current care and care planning.

- Review current treatment and medication to ensure the person receives optimal care; minimise polypharmacy.
- Consider referral for specialist assessment if symptoms or problems are complex and difficult to manage.
- Agree a current and future care plan with the person and their family. Support family carers.
- Plan ahead early if loss of decision-making capacity is likely.
- Record, communicate and coordinate the care plan.

The GSF PIG 2016 - Proactive Identification Guidance

Step 1 The Surprise Question

For patients with advanced diasans or progressive He limiting conditions, would you be surprised if the patient were to die in the next year, months, weeks, days? The answer to this question should be an intuitive one, pulling together a range of clinical, social and other factors that give a whole picture of clinicitoration. If you would not be surprised, then what measures might be taken to improve the patient's quality of the new and in preparation for possible further decline?

Step 2 General indications of decline and increasing needs?

- · General physical decline, increasing dependence and need for support.
- · Repeated amplament heapital admissio Advanced daesas - unstable, deteriorating, complex symptom burden.
- · Presence of significant multi-modelling.
- Decreasing activity functional performance status declining (e.g. Sarthal acore) limited self-care, in best or chair SDN of day and increasing dependence in must activities of daily living.
- · Decreasing response to beatments, decreasing reversibility
- Patient choice for no further active treatment and facto on quality of Me.
- Programme weight lass (>10%) is past als months · Sentinel Event e.g. perious fail, berwavement, transfer to nursing home.
- · Secondaria / Mal
- Consistened elliptile for DS1500 payment.

Step 3 Specific Clinical Indicators related to 3 trajectories

1. Cancer

- · Deteriorality performance atelua and functional ability due to metastatic cancer, multi-montacilies or not amenable to treatment - if spending more than 50% of time in bod/ying down, programs estimated in months.
- Persistent symptoms despite optimal patientes procesogy, litore specific programic predictors for cancer are available, e.g. 775.

2 Orman Paillane

- Heart Disease
- At least two of the indicators below:
- Patient for whom the surprise question is applicable.
- · Dif WHA Stage 3 or 4 with angoing symptoms deaptin optimal HT therapy shortness of breath al rest or minimal exertion
- Received admissions with heart failure 3 admissions in 6 months or a single en aped over 75 (50% Tyr mertality).
- · Difficult orgains physical or psychological symptoms despite optimal talenated therapy.
- Additional features include hypomatrasmis <135mmoR, high SP; declining renal function, ansemia, etc.

Chronic Obsituative Pulmonary Disease (CDPD)

- Al least two of the indicators before: Recurrent hespital admissions (at least 3 in last year due to COPD) MRC grade 4/3 startness of breath after 100 metrus on level
- Disease assessed to be very severe (c.q. FDV1 <30% predicted, persisten ayoptame despite optimal therapy, too severil for surgery or pulm rates).
- Fullin long term anygen therapy criteria (PaDD-7.3kPa). Required FD/WV during heapital admission.
- Other factors e.g., right heart failure, anonents, cachesia, >6 weeks allerable in preceding 6 months, requires palledine methodon for breathfasteness all

Eldenry Dissessed

Stage 4 or 5 Chronic Kidney Disease (CRD) whole condition is deteriorating with at least two of the indicators below:

- Patient for where the surprise question is applicable.
- Repeated unplayed admissions (more than 3/year).
- Paliants with poor tolerance of dialysts with change of modulity.
- Patients chapsing the 'no designia' spilon (conservative), disignia withdrawal or not opting for chalysis if transplant has taked.
- Difficult physical or psychological symptoms that have not responded to specific
- treatments.
- Symptomatic Renal Failure in patients who have chearer net to diskys naures and vomility, ensmula, practice, reduced functional status, intractoble fluid avertant

Liner Division

Hepatopellular carcinoma.

- Liver transplant contra indicated.
- wheel cirrhosts with complications including:

- Net Disease cas Refractory sector **cephalopathy**
- Other selvence factors including mainufation, severe consorbidities, Hepsitzmenal
- Bacterial infection current bleeds, raised WR, hypensitesemis, unless they are a candidate for liver transplantation or amenable to treatment of underlying

General Neurological Diseases

- Programive deterioration in physical and/or cognitive function despite optimal therapy · Symplems which are complex and too difficult to central.
- Soulizving problems (dysphagis) leading to recurrent application preumonia acquite, treathleaments or respiratory tailore.
- · Speech problems: increasing difficulty in communications and progressive dyna/hight

Park Insport's Disease

lictor Neurone Dis Marked rapid decline in physical status.

Weight Lass.

Frailty

stage are:

Berthelacore >3

demonstration, named faillance.

Communication differenties.

3. Frailte, domentia, multi-morbidite

Deteriorating performance scare.

· Weakness, weight loss enhoustlot.

PRSMA – at least 3 of the following:

Unable to walk without assistance and

No consistently maintingful conversition and

Unable to do Activities of Daily Living (ACL)

Use of validated acels such as 10155 recommended.

Coorditive Impairment / Post-stroke dementia.

· Univery and faecal incontinuous, and

Multile rarbidiles.

Concretenative Gertatric Assessment (CG-A).

· Designation, mobility problems and fails.

First opisotie of approximational presumerita.
Increased capatilies difficulties.

Similar pattern to frailly - see belo

 Drug treatment less effective ar increasingly complex regime of drug 1112 Reduced independence, needs 4DL help. The condition is least well controlled with increasing "off" periods.

Significant complex symptoms and medical complexitions.
 Low vital capacity (below 70% predicted spinometry), or initiation of Mil.
 Mobility problems and late.

Builty's Sciences
 Significant complex symptoms and motified complexitions
 Optohype + poor numficinal status.
 Gramunication difficulties e.g., Opartimit + Subget.
 Sciences, and the status of the status.

question must biangulate with a tier of indicators, e.g. through

· Skow Walking Speed - takes more than 5 seconds to walk 4 m.

TUGT - time to stand up from chair, walk 3 m, tem and walk back.

Aged over 85, Male, Any health problems that limit activity?, Do you need someone to help you on a regular basis?, Do you have health problems that cause require

you to stay at inorm?, In case of need can you count on someone clase to you?, Do you regularly use a stick, walker or wheekchair to get about?

Electrification of markenholowware atogs dementio using a validated atoging load e.g., Functional Assessment Staging has utility in identifying the final year of Mix in dementia. (IRSS) Triggers to canadider that indicate that semeane is entering a later

Plus any of the following: Weight lass, Urinary tract infection, Severe pressures sores

- state fires or hur. Recursed fever, Reduced and Intake, Application concurrents,

NS Advance Care Planning discussions should be started early at diagnosis.

Medical complications, or box of improvement within 3 months of prant.

Other factors e.g. old age, make, beart disease, strake auti-type, hyperphycaemic

Phyllis Tuckwell Hospice Care

Persistent vegetative, minimal contactous state or dense paralysis.

For older people with complexity and multiple comprisitions, the surprise

Copylitive importment relatily the erget of demi

Paychistric signs (depression, ansiety, inductivations, paychasta).

Proposed Non Malignant Collaborative Model





Monitoring and Evaluation tools – impact on models of care

Phase of illness allocation in accordance with phase definition



Phyllis Tuckwell

References for Phase of Illness:

Masso M, Allingham SF, et al., (2015) Palliative Care Phase: Inter-rater reliability and acceptability in a national study. Palliat Med 29(1):22-30

Centre for Health Service Development: University of Wollongong, [1997]. The Australian National Sub-Acute and Non-Acute Patient (AN-SN AP) Casemix Classification: Report of the National Sub-Acute and Non-Acute Casemix Classification Study. Eggar K, Green A, Ordon, R (2004). An Australian casemix Classification for patiliative care: technical development: and results. Patiliat Med 18: 217-226.

Eagar K, Gordon R, et al. (2004). An Australian casemix classification for palliative care: lessons and policy implications of a national study. Palliat Med, 18, 227-233.

i-POS - Evaluation



IPOS Patient Version



| Patient name | : |
|-------------------|-------------------|
| Date (dd/mm/yyyy) | : |
| Patient number | : (for staff use) |

Q1. What have been your main problems or concerns over the past week?

| 1 | |
|---|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |

Q2. Below is a list of symptoms, which you may or may not have experienced. For each symptom, please tick one box that best describes how it has affected you over the past week.

| | Not at all | Slightly | Moderately | Severely | Over- whelmingly | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|------------|---------------|---------------------|--|
| Pain | ۰. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Shortness of breath | o 🗖 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Weakness or lack of energy | ۰. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Nausea (feeling like you are going to be sick) | • | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Vomiting (being sick) | o 🗖 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Poor appetite | ۰. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Constipation | ۰. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Sore or dry mouth | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Drowsiness | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| Poor mobility | | 1 | 2 | з | 4 | |
| Please list any <u>other</u> symptoms not mentioned above, and tick <u>one box</u> to show how they have <u>affected</u> you <u>over the past week</u> . | | | | | | |
| 1. | • | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 2. | 。 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| 3. | • | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | |
| IPOS Patient | www.pos-pal.org IPOSv1-P7- | | | EN 26/02/2014 | | |

Over the past week:

| | Not at all | Occasionally | Sometimes | Most of the time | Always |
|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Q3. Have you been feeling anxious or worried about your illness or treatment? | | , 🗖 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Q4. Have any of your family or friends been anxious or worried about you? | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Q5. Have you been feeling depressed? | • | 1 | 2 | з | 4 |
| | Always | Most of the time | Sometimes | Occasionally | Not at all |
| Q6. Have you felt at peace? | • | 1 | 2 | з | 4 |
| Q7. Have you been able to share how you are feeling with your family or friends as much as you wanted? | •□ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Q8. Have you had as much information as you wanted? | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | Problems addressed/ No problems | Problems mostly addressed | Problems partly addressed | Problems hardly addressed | Problems not addressed |
| Q9. Have any practical problems resulting from your illness been addressed? (such as financial or personal) | | , | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| | On my own | With help from a friend or relative | | | With help from a member of staff |
| Q10. How did you complete this questionnaire? | | | | | |

If you are worried about any of the issues raised on this questionnaire then please speak to your doctor or nurse Page 1 of 2

IPOS Patient

IPOSv1-P7-EN 26/02/2014



Outputs

- A clear referral pathway for patients with non-malignant condition
- Advance Care Planning in place for patients
- With patients' consent, care plans and resuscitation status communicated to South East Ambulance Service securely via their own IBIS database, thereby reducing hospital admissions
- Improved service utilisation
- Reciprocal education programme between PTHC and local health providers
- Submission of service evaluation and achievements for publication in journals such as the British Medical Journal and European Journal of Palliative Care



Summary

- National drive for palliative care equitable access for people non malignant conditions
- PTH has started to develop models of care still remains a large cohort of people who would benefit to access to specialist palliative care
- As part of the models recognition of Collaborative approach across boundaries to supporting the proactive management of advanced disease in the non malignant population



Thank You



